

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/830,361	04/25/2001	Yasushi Inagaki	P279059	4952	
909 75	590 03/10/2004		EXAM	INER	
PILLSBURY WINTHROP, LLP			DINH, TUAN T		
P.O. BOX 1050	00				
MCLEAN, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2827	2827	
			DATE MAILED: 03/10/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•¢	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/830,361	INAGAKI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tuan T Dinh	2827
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 20 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	•	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•	
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	will be entered and an wor appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed: 6.		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: 1-5.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 7-78.		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	
10. Other:		Davi JA. Fain
		Dimary Draw
		7/2/21

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The insertion of "the IC chip being connect via a solder bump located under the IC chip" after the final office action is appropriate, since examiner would further require and/or search.